

Mathematical Theory and Modeling
 ISSN 2224-5804 (Paper) ISSN 2225-0522 (Online)
 Vol.2, No.3, 2012

www.iiste.org



A Focus on a Common Fixed Point Theorem using Weakly Compatible Mappings

V.Srinivas*, B.V.B.Reddy, R.Umamaheshwar Rao

1, 2, 3. Department of Mathematics, Sreenidhi Institute of Science and Technology,
 Ghatkesar, R.R.Dist-501 301, Andhra Pradesh, India.

*Email: srinivasveladi@yahoo.co.in,

Abstract

The purpose of this paper is to present a common fixed point theorem in a metric space which generalizes the result of Bijendra Singh and M.S.Chauhan using the weaker conditions such as Weakly compatible mappings and Associated sequence in place of compatibility and completeness of the metric space. More over the condition of continuity of any one of the mapping is being dropped.

Keywords: Fixed point, Self maps, compatible mappings, weakly compatible mappings, associated sequence.

1. Introduction

G.Jungck [1] introduced the concept of compatible maps which is weaker than weakly commuting maps. After wards Jungck and Rhoades[4] defined weaker class of maps known as weakly compatible maps.

1.1 Definitions and Preliminaries

1.1.1 Compatible mappings

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be compatible mappings if $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(STx_n, TSx_n) = 0$, whenever $\langle x_n \rangle$ is a sequence in X such that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Tx_n = t$ for some $t \in X$.

1.1.2 Weakly compatible

Two self maps S and T of a metric space (X, d) are said to be weakly compatible if they commute at their coincidence point. i.e if $Su = Tu$ for some $u \in X$ then $STu = TSu$.

It is clear that every compatible pair is weakly compatible but its converse need not be true.

To know the relation among commutativity, compatibility and weakly compatibility refer some of the research papers like Jungck, Pant, B. Fisher, Srinivas and others.

Bijendra Singh and M.S. Chauhan proved the following theorem.

1.1.3 Theorem (A): Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the following conditions

$$A(X) \subseteq T(X) \text{ and } B(X) \subseteq S(X) \quad \dots\dots (1.1.4)$$

$$\text{One of } A, B, S \text{ or } T \text{ is continuous} \quad \dots\dots\dots (1.1.5)$$

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Ax, By)]^2 \leq & k_1 [d(Ax, Sx)d(By, Ty) + d(By, Sx)d(Ax, Ty)] \\ & + k_2 [d(Ax, Sx)d(Ax, Ty) + d(By, Ty)d(By, Sx)] \end{aligned} \quad \dots\dots (1.1.6)$$

$$\text{where } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, k_1, k_2 \geq 0$$

$$\text{The pairs } (A, S) \text{ and } (B, T) \text{ are compatible on } X \quad \dots\dots\dots (1.1.7)$$

Further if

$$X \text{ is a complete metric space} \quad \dots\dots (1.1.8)$$

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point in X .

Now we generalize the theorem using is weakly compatible mappings and Associated Sequence.

1.1.9 Associated Sequence: Suppose A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the condition (1.1.4). Then for an arbitrary $x_0 \in X$ such that $Ax_0 = Tx_1$ and for this point x_1 , there exist a point x_2 in X such that $Bx_1 = Sx_2$ and so on. Proceeding in the similar manner, we can define a sequence $\{y_n\}$ in X such that $y_{2n} = Ax_{2n} = Tx_{2n+1}$ and $y_{2n+1} = Bx_{2n+2} = Sx_{2n+1}$ for $n \geq 0$. We shall call this sequence as an “Associated sequence of x_0 ” relative to the four self maps A, B, S and T .

Now we prove a lemma which plays an important role in our main Theorem.

1.1.10 Lemma: Let A, B, S and T be self mappings from a complete metric space (X, d) into itself satisfying the conditions (1.1.4) and (1.1.6). Then the associated sequence $\{y_n\}$ relative to four self maps is a Cauchy sequence in X .

Proof: From the conditions (1.1.4), (1.1.6) and from the definition of associated sequence we have

$$\begin{aligned}
 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n})]^2 &= [d(Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n-1})]^2 \\
 &\leq k_1 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1})] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [d(Ax_{2n}, Sx_{2n}) d(Ax_{2n}, Tx_{2n-1}) + d(Bx_{2n-1}, Tx_{2n-1}) d(Bx_{2n-1}, Sx_{2n})] \\
 &= k_1 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + 0] \\
 &\quad + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n-1}) + 0]
 \end{aligned}$$

This implies

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) &\leq k_1 d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) + k_2 [d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) + d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1})] \\
 d(y_{2n+1}, y_{2n}) &\leq h d(y_{2n}, y_{2n-1}) \\
 \text{where } h &= \frac{k_1 + k_2}{1 - k_2} < 1
 \end{aligned}$$

For every integer $p > 0$, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
 d(y_n, y_{n+p}) &\leq d(y_n, y_{n+1}) + d(y_{n+1}, y_{n+2}) + \dots + d(y_{n+p-1}, y_{n+p}) \\
 &\leq h^n d(y_0, y_1) + h^{n+1} d(y_0, y_1) + \dots + h^{n+p-1} d(y_0, y_1) \\
 &\leq (h^n + h^{n+1} + \dots + h^{n+p-1}) d(y_0, y_1) \\
 &\leq h^n (1 + h + h^2 + \dots + h^{p-1}) d(y_0, y_1)
 \end{aligned}$$

Since $h < 1$, $h^n \rightarrow 0$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$, so that $d(y_n, y_{n+p}) \rightarrow 0$. This shows that the sequence $\{y_n\}$ is a Cauchy sequence in X and since X is a complete metric space, it converges to a limit, say $z \in X$.

The converse of the Lemma is not true, that is A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying (1.1.4) and (1.1.6), even if for $x_0 \in X$ and for associated sequence of x_0 converges, the metric space (X, d) need not be complete. The following example establishes this.

1.1.11. Example: Let $X = (-1, 1)$ with $d(x, y) = |x - y|$

$$\begin{aligned}
 Ax = Bx &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } -1 \leq x < \frac{1}{10} \\ \frac{1}{10} & \text{if } \frac{1}{10} \leq x < 1 \end{cases} & Sx = Tx &= \begin{cases} \frac{1}{8} & \text{if } -1 < x < \frac{1}{10} \\ \frac{1}{5} - x & \text{if } \frac{1}{10} \leq x < 1 \end{cases}
 \end{aligned}$$

Then $A(X) = B(X) = \left\{ \frac{1}{8}, \frac{1}{10} \right\}$ while $S(X) = T(X) = \left\{ \frac{1}{8} \cup \left[\frac{1}{5}, \frac{-4}{5} \right) \right\}$ so that the conditions

$A(X) \subset T(X)$ and $B(X) \subset S(X)$ are satisfied. Clearly (X, d) is not a complete metric space. It is easy to prove that the associated sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$, converges to the point $\frac{1}{8}$

if $-1 \leq x < \frac{1}{10}$ and converges to the point $\frac{1}{10}$ if $\frac{1}{10} \leq x < 1$, in both the cases it converges to a point in X , but X is not a complete metric space.

Now we generalize the above Theorem (A) in the following form.

1.1.12. Theorem (B): Let A, B, S and T are self maps of a metric space (X, d) satisfying the conditions (1.1.4), (1.1.6) and the pairs (A, S) and (B, T) are weakly compatible(1.1.13)

Further

The associated sequence relative to four self maps AB, S and T such that the sequence $Ax_0, Bx_1, Ax_2, Bx_3, \dots, Ax_{2n}, Bx_{2n+1}, \dots$ converges to $z \in X$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$(1.1.14)

Then A, B, S and T have a unique common fixed point z in X .

Proof: From the condition (1.3), $B(X) \subset S(X)$ implies there exists $u \in X$ such that $z = Su$. We prove $Au = Su$.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Au, Bx_{2n+1})]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Au, Su) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Su) d(Au, Tx_{2n+1})] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Au, Su) d(Au, Tx_{2n+1}) + d(Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1}) d(Bx_{2n+1}, Su)] \end{aligned}$$

letting $n \rightarrow \infty, Bx_{2n+1}, Tx_{2n+1} \rightarrow z$, then we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Au, z)]^2 &\leq k_2 [d(Au, z)]^2 \\ [d(Au, z)]^2 (1 - k_2) &\leq 0 \end{aligned}$$

Since $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$, we get $d(Au, z) = 0$, this implies $Au = z$. Thus $Au = Su = z$.

Since the pair (A, S) is weakly compatible and $Au = Su = z$ implies $ASu = SAu$ or $Az = Sz$.

Since $A(X) \subset T(X)$ implies there exists $v \in X$ such that $z = Tv$. We prove $Bv = Tv$.

Consider

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Au, Bv)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Au, Su) d(Bv, Tv) + d(Bv, Su) d(Au, Tv)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Au, Su) d(Au, Tv) + d(Bv, Tv) d(Bv, Su)] \end{aligned}$$

since $Au = Su = z$ and $z = Tv$ we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bv)]^2 &\leq k_2 [d(z, Bv)]^2 \\ [d(z, Bv)]^2 (1 - k_2) &\leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, \quad k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

we get $d(z, Bv) = 0$ or $Bv = z$. Thus $Bv = Tv = z$.

Again since the pair (B,T) is weakly compatible and $Bv = Tv = z$ implies $BTv = TBv$ or $Bz = Tz$.

Now consider

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, z)]^2 &= [d(Az, Bv)]^2 \\ &\leq k_1 [d(Az, Sz) d(Bv, Tv) + d(Bv, Sz) d(Az, Tv)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Az, Sz) d(Au, Tv) + d(Bv, Tv) d(Bv, Su)] \end{aligned}$$

since $Az = Sz$ and $Bv = Tv = z$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(Az, z)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(Az, z)]^2 \\ [d(Az, z)]^2 (1 - k_1) &\leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, \quad k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

we get $d(Az, z) = 0$ or $Az = z$. Thus $Az = Sz = z$.

Again consider

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bz)]^2 &= [d(Au, Bz)]^2 \\ &\leq k_1 [d(Au, Su) d(Bz, Tz) + d(Bz, Su) d(Au, Tz)] \\ &\quad + k_2 [d(Au, Su) d(Au, Tz) + d(Bz, Tz) d(Bz, Su)] \end{aligned}$$

since $Au = Su = Bv = Tv = z$, $Az = Sz = z$ and $Bz = Tz$, we get

$$\begin{aligned} [d(z, Bz)]^2 &\leq k_1 [d(z, Bz)]^2 \\ [d(z, Bz)]^2 (1 - k_1) &\leq 0 \quad \text{and} \quad \text{since } 0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1, \quad k_1, k_2 \geq 0 \end{aligned}$$

we get $d(Bz, z) = 0$ or $Bz = z$. Thus $Bz = Tz = z$.

Since $Az = Bz = Sz = Tz = z$, we get z in a common fixed point of A,B,S and T. The uniqueness of the fixed point can be easily proved.

1.1.15. Remark From the example given above, clearly the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are weakly compatible

as they commute at coincident points $\frac{1}{8}$ and $\frac{1}{10}$. But the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) are not compatible

For this, take a sequence $x_n = \left(\frac{1}{10} + \frac{1}{10^n} \right)$ for $n \geq 1$, then $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Ax_n = \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} Sx_n = \frac{1}{10}$ and $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} ASx_n = \frac{1}{8}$

also $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} SAx_n = \frac{1}{10}$. So that $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(ASx_n, SAx_n) \neq 0$. Also note that none of the mappings are

continuous and the rational inequality holds for the values of $0 \leq k_1 + 2k_2 < 1$, where $k_1, k_2 \geq 0$.

Clearly $\frac{1}{10}$ is the unique common fixed point of A,B,S and T.

1.1.16 Remark Theorem (B) is a generalization of Theorem (A) by virtue of the weaker conditions such as weakly compatibility of the pairs (A,S) and (B,T) in place of compatibility of (A,S) and (B,T); The continuity of any one of the mappings is being dropped and the convergence of associated sequence relative to four self maps A,B,S and T in place the complete metric space

References

- Jungck.G,(1986) *Compatible mappings and common fixed points*,Internat.J.Math.&Math.Sci.9, 771- 778.
- R.P.Pant,(1999) *A Common fixed point theorem under a new condition*, Indian J. of Pure and App. Math., 30(2), 147-152.
- Jungck,G.(1988) *Compatible mappings and common fixed points(2)*, Internat.J.Math.&Math. Sci.11, 285-288
- Jungck.G. and Rhoades.B.E.,(1998) *Fixed point for set valued functions without continuity*, Indian J. Pure.Appl.Math., 29 (3),227-238.
- Bijendra Singh and S.Chauhan,(1998) *On common fixed points of four mappings*, Bull.Cal.Math.Soc.,88,301-308.
- B.Fisher,(1983) *Common fixed points of four mappings*, Bull.Inst.Math.Acad.Sinica,11,103.
- Srinivas.Vand Umamaheshwar Rao.R(2008), *A fixed point theorem for four self maps under weakly compatible*, Proceeding of world congress on engineering,vol.II,WCE 2008,London,U.K.
- Srinivas.V & Umamaheshwar Rao.R, *Common Fixed Point of Four Self Maps*” Vol.3,No.2, 2011, 113-118.

This academic article was published by The International Institute for Science, Technology and Education (IISTE). The IISTE is a pioneer in the Open Access Publishing service based in the U.S. and Europe. The aim of the institute is Accelerating Global Knowledge Sharing.

More information about the publisher can be found in the IISTE's homepage:

<http://www.iiste.org>

The IISTE is currently hosting more than 30 peer-reviewed academic journals and collaborating with academic institutions around the world. **Prospective authors of IISTE journals can find the submission instruction on the following page:**

<http://www.iiste.org/Journals/>

The IISTE editorial team promises to review and publish all the qualified submissions in a fast manner. All the journals articles are available online to the readers all over the world without financial, legal, or technical barriers other than those inseparable from gaining access to the internet itself. Printed version of the journals is also available upon request of readers and authors.

IISTE Knowledge Sharing Partners

EBSCO, Index Copernicus, Ulrich's Periodicals Directory, JournalTOCS, PKP Open Archives Harvester, Bielefeld Academic Search Engine, Elektronische Zeitschriftenbibliothek EZB, Open J-Gate, OCLC WorldCat, Universe Digital Library, NewJour, Google Scholar

